## Controllability in a Network of Linear Dynamical Systems

#### **Biswadip Dey** Collaborators: M. Romer, E. N. Davison, N. E. Leonard

Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

#### SIAM Workshop on Network Science (NS17) Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

July 13, 2017

## Controllability - A Key Property Across Multiple Domains



## CONTROLLABILITY IN A NETWORKED MULTI-AGENT SYSTEM



- ${\scriptstyle \bullet}\,$  Number of agents in the network: N
- State of agent- $i: x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$  denote the input and output of agent-i
- Dynamics of agent-*i*:

$$\dot{x}_i = Ax_i + Bv_i$$
  

$$y_i = Cx_i$$
(1)

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  and  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ 

FIGURE: Diffusively coupled networked multi-agent system

• Agents are interconnected via diffusive coupling over an undirected graph  $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \Gamma)$  with Laplacian  $L \triangleq D - \Gamma$ • Leader set:  $S_m \triangleq \{s_1, \ldots, s_m\} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ 

• Control input to individual agent: 
$$v_i = \begin{cases} u_i + \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{ij} C(x_j - x_i) & i \in S_m \\ \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{ij} C(x_j - x_i) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (2)

#### Controllability

Consider the networked multi-agent system defined by (1)-(2). This system is controllable if for any  $\xi_{\flat}, \xi_{\sharp} \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}$ , there exist a finite  $\tau > 0$  and control input  $u_i, i \in S_m$  such that the control input transfers overall state of the system (1)-(2) from  $[x_1(0), \ldots, x_N(0)] = \xi_{\flat}$  to  $[x_1(\tau), \ldots, x_N(\tau)] = \xi_{\sharp}$ .

### MAIN RESULT

• Define: 
$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} u_{s_1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{s_m} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{mp}, \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 \text{ (row - } s_1) & \cdots & 1 \text{ (row - } s_m) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Overall dynamics of the network:

$$\dot{X} = (I_N \otimes A - L \otimes BC)X + (G \otimes B)U$$

• Spectral decomposition of L:

$$L = \Psi \Lambda \Psi^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{1,1} & \psi_{1,2} & \cdots & \psi_{1,N} \\ \psi_{2,1} & \psi_{2,2} & \cdots & \psi_{2,N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi_{N,1} & \psi_{N,2} & \cdots & \psi_{N,N} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{1,1} & \psi_{2,1} & \cdots & \psi_{N,1} \\ \psi_{1,2} & \psi_{2,2} & \cdots & \psi_{N,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi_{1,N} & \psi_{2,N} & \cdots & \psi_{N,N} \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Theorem 1

Consider the networked multi-agent system defined by (3). This system is controllable if and only if the following conditions hold true:

(I) For each  $\lambda_i \in \operatorname{spec}(L)$ , none of the left eigenvectors of  $(A - \lambda_i BC)$  are orthogonal to B.

(II) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \psi_{s_j,i}^2 \neq \mathbf{0}$$
 for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , i.e., the pair  $[L, G]$  is controllable.

(3)

## WHAT'S NEXT?

• Controllability Gramian: 
$$W_c(T, S_m) = \int_0^T e^{(I_N \otimes A - L \otimes BC)\sigma} (GG^{\intercal} \otimes BB^{\intercal}) e^{(I_N \otimes A - L \otimes BC)^{\intercal}\sigma} d\sigma$$

• Trace of the controllability Gramian has an inverse relationship with the lower bound on control effort, and therefore its maximization can be used for optimal leader selection<sup>1</sup>.

#### **Proposition 1**

Trace of the controllability Gramian  $W_c(T, S_m)$  is a modular set function, and hence the set of (m + 1) optimal leaders contains the set of m optimal leaders.

# THANK YOU!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Summers T. H., Lygeros J. (2014) "Optimal Sensor and Actuator Placement in Complex Dynamical Networks", *Proceedings of the 19th IFAC World Congress*, 47(3):3784-3789.