

Controllability of Driftless Systems: —

$$\dot{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m u_i(t) g_i(x(t)) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

- $(u_1(t), \dots, u_m(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ at any t and $x(t) \in M$.
- $\mathcal{D} = \text{span}\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})$ is the smallest Lie-subalgebra which contains \mathcal{D} .
- The system (1) is controllable if and only if $\dim(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})_p) = \dim(M)$ at every $p \in M$, whenever M is connected.

Controllability for Systems w/ Drift: —

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i g_i(x) \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

- $x \in M$ and $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ at any t .
- $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \left\{ f + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i g_i \mid (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in U \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}(M)$

Local result on controllability: (STLC)

Let, $x_0 \in M$ be such that $f(x_0) = 0$ and U contain an open neighborhood V such that $(u_1, \dots, u_m) = 0 \in V \subset U$.

If the linearization of (2) around $(x_0, 0)$ is controllable, i.e. if the pair $(f(x_0), [g_1(x_0) \dots g_m(x_0)])$ is controllable, then for every $T > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ the set of points reachable from x_0 in time T using admissible controls $u(\cdot): [0, T] \rightarrow V$ with $\|u(t)\| \leq \epsilon$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ contains a neighborhood of x_0 .

→ Accessibility Lie-algebra —

11/07/2017
BD/14-2

The accessibility (control) Lie-algebra for (2) is defined as the smallest Lie-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ which contains $\{f, g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ and we denote it as $\mathfrak{L}(F)$. Similar to the driftless scenario, $\mathfrak{L}(F)$ is the real linear span of brackets of the form —

$$[X_k, [X_{k-1}, \dots [X_2, X_1] \dots]]$$

where, $1 \leq k < \infty$, $X_i \in \{f, g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ for $i=1, \dots, k$ and $k=1$ is the no bracket case.

Then, we can define the accessibility distribution as —

$$C(x) = \text{span}\{X(x) \mid X \in \mathfrak{L}(F)\} \quad x \in M.$$

C is a smooth distribution

→ Accessibility Theorem : —

If $\dim C(x_0) = n = \dim(M)$, then (2) is locally accessible from $x_0 \in M$, i.e. $R_T^V(x_0)$ contains a non-empty open set of M for all $T > 0$ and for all neighborhoods V of x_0 .

Moreover, if $\dim C(x) = \dim(M)$ for all $x \in M$, (2) is locally accessible. This condition is called the Lie-algebraic rank condition (LARC).

This result can be proved by considering the flows of vector fields in \mathfrak{L} to create seq. of submanifolds of increasing dimension.

Conversely, if (2) is locally accessible then $\dim C(x) = \dim(M)$ for all x in an open and dense subset of M .

11/07/2017
BD | 14-3

→ A stronger form of accessibility:-

A system is locally strongly accessible from $x_0 \in M$ if for any neighborhood V of x_0 , the set $R^V(x_0, T)$ contains a non-empty set for any sufficiently small $T > 0$. If this holds true for every $x_0 \in M$, then the system is called locally strongly accessible.

Consider the system:

$$\left. \begin{matrix} \dot{x}_1 = 1 \\ \dot{x}_2 = u \end{matrix} \right\} \begin{matrix} \text{Locally accessible } \checkmark \\ \text{Locally strongly accessible } \times \end{matrix}$$

By letting $x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} x_0^1 \\ x_0^2 \end{pmatrix}$ we have —

$$R^{\mathbb{R}^2}(x_0, T) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_0^1 \\ x_0^2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} T \\ \int_0^T u(\tau) d\tau \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in U \right\}$$

Does ~~not~~ contain any open set. $\subseteq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_0^1 + T \\ q \end{pmatrix} \mid q \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$

However,

$$R_T^{\mathbb{R}^2}(x_0) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} q^1 \\ q^2 \end{pmatrix} \mid x_0^1 < q^1 \leq x_0^1 + T, q^2 = x_0^2 + \int_0^T u(\tau) d\tau, u \in U, 0 \leq \tau \leq T \right\}$$

contains open set for any $T > 0$.

→ Now we define the strong accessibility Lie-algebra for (2) as the smallest Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ which contains $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ ~~and its involutions~~

and is closed under Lie-brackets with f . By letting $\mathcal{L}_0(f)$ denote this strong accessibility Lie-algebra, we have —

11/07/2017
BD | (4-4)

$g_i \in \mathcal{L}_0(f)$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$,
and, $[f, X] \in \mathcal{L}_0(f)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{L}_0(f)$.

Similar to the previous cases, $\mathcal{L}_0(f)$ can be expressed as real linear span of the following brackets —

$$\left[X_k, \left[X_{k-1}, \dots \left[X_1, g_i \right] \dots \right] \right] \quad \begin{array}{l} 1 \leq j \leq m \\ 0 \leq k < \infty \\ X_i \in \{f, g_1, \dots, g_m\} \\ i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \end{array}$$

Finally we define the strong accessibility distribution as —
 $C_0(x) = \text{span} \{X(x) \mid X \in \mathcal{L}_0(f)\} \quad x \in M.$

→ If $\dim C_0(x_0) = m = \dim(M)$, then (2) is ~~strongly~~ locally strongly accessible from $x_0 \in M$, i.e. $\mathcal{R}^V(x_0, T)$ contains a non-empty open set of M for any neighborhood V of x_0 and any $T > 0$ sufficiently small. Moreover, if $\dim C_0(x) = \dim(M)$ for every $x \in M$, then (2) is called to be locally strongly accessible. The converse is also true almost everywhere, i.e. if (2) is locally strongly accessible then $\dim C_0(x) = \dim(M)$ for all x in an open and dense subset of M .

→ More results on Controllability:

— \mathcal{L} is called symmetric when for every $x \in \mathcal{L}$, $-x$ also belongs to \mathcal{L} .

For example, by assuming $U = \mathbb{R}^m$ and by letting $f(x) \in \text{span}\{g_1(x), \dots, g_m(x)\}$ we can ensure that \mathcal{L} is symmetric.

— Now suppose $f(x) \in \text{span}\{g_1(x), \dots, g_m(x)\}$ and \mathcal{L} is symmetric for (2). Then, the following holds true—

- (i) If $\dim C(x_0) = \dim(M)$, then (2) is STLC at $x_0 \in M$.
- (ii) If $\dim C(x) = \dim(M)$ at every $x \in M$, then (2) is controllable whenever M is connected.

⊛ For special case when $f=0$, this results gives back the controllability results for driftless systems.

→ An example:—

$M = \mathbb{R}^3$
 $m = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= yz \\ \dot{y} &= u_1 - xz \\ \dot{z} &= u_2 - u_1 \end{aligned} \iff \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} yz \\ -xz \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} u_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} u_2$$

\uparrow f \uparrow g_1 \uparrow g_2

Then,

$$[f, g_1] = \begin{pmatrix} y-z \\ -x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad [f, g_2] = \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad [g_1, g_2] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$[g_1, [f, g_1]] = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \dots \text{and so on.}$$

— $C_0(x) = \mathbb{R}^3$ at every $x \in M = \mathbb{R}^3$

— This system is locally strongly accessible.

— $C(x) = \mathbb{R}^3$ at every $x \in M = \mathbb{R}^3$

— This system is locally accessible.

Linearized system at $(x, y, z), (0, 0)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z & y \\ -z & 0 & -x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} u_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} u_2$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & z & y \\ -z & 0 & -x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

— check controllability of (A, B) pair at a point $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

→ Another example: Controllability of underactuated Rigid body dynamics.

$$\dot{\omega}_1 = \left(\frac{I_2 - I_3}{I_1} \right) \omega_2 \omega_3 + u_1$$

$$\dot{\omega}_2 = \left(\frac{I_3 - I_1}{I_2} \right) \omega_3 \omega_1 + u_2$$

$$\dot{\omega}_3 = \left(\frac{I_1 - I_2}{I_3} \right) \omega_1 \omega_2 \quad \leftarrow \text{no-torque}$$

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} a \omega_2 \omega_3 \\ b \omega_3 \omega_1 \\ c \omega_1 \omega_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$[f, g_1] = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial \omega} g_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -b\omega_3 \\ -c\omega_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

11/07/2017
BD | (4-7)

$$[f, g_2] = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial \omega} g_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -a\omega_3 \\ 0 \\ -c\omega_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[g_2, [f, g_1]] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[g_1, [f, g_1]] = 0 = [g_2, [f, g_2]]$$

$$[g_1, [f, g_2]] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus this dynamics is locally strongly accessible if $c \neq 0$, i.e. if $I_1 \neq I_2$.

→ Symmetry in the dynamics is undesirable from a controllability perspective.