

Lect 16

Indirect Method Lyapunov.

→ $V(x, z)$ is hard to find; on the other hand for stable linear systems we can obtain quadratic Lyapunov functions by an algorithmic way. Idea is to consider the linearized dyna around an eq. If it is stable find Lyap and then go back to nl-dyna and find the region where it works.

Fund Th. of integral calc

X, Y : two finite dim. and U is an open subset of X . $f: U \rightarrow Y$ is cont. differentiable, i.e. is C^1 . If $x + ty \in U \forall t \in [0, 1]$, then —

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + \int_0^1 \underbrace{Df(x+ty)}_{\text{fréchet derivative}} y dt$$

fréchet derivative

$$Df(z)h = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} f(z+sh)$$

$$f(x) = f(0) + \int_0^1 Df(tx) x dt$$

$$= f(0) + \left(\int_0^1 Df(tx) dt \right) x$$

$$= f(0) + M(x) x$$

Consider a nl-system —

$$\dot{x} = f(x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0 \quad \text{—— (1)}$$

and assume $x^* = 0$ is an equilibrium for (1), i.e. $f(0) = 0$. f is C^1 (i.e. cont. diff)

Then the linearized dyna around eq, —

$$\dot{\tilde{x}} = A \tilde{x} \quad \text{where} \quad A = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) \Big|_{x=0}$$

Express (1) as — $= Df(0)$

$$\dot{x} = Ax + \underbrace{(f(x) - Ax)}_{g(x)}$$

$$\rightarrow \underline{g(x) = g(0) + N(x)x} \quad \text{where} \quad N(x) = \int_0^1 (Df(tx) - A) dt$$

Now, $g(0) = 0$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} N(x) = \int_0^1 \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (Df(tx) - A) dt = 0$$

$$\|g(x)\| \leq \cancel{\|g(0)\|} + \|N(x)x\| \leq \|N(x)\| \|x\|$$

$$\frac{\|g(x)\|}{\|x\|} \leq \|N(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \|x\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \underline{\text{in any norm}}$$

Then, for any arbitrary small $\epsilon > 0$, \exists an $\delta > 0$

s.t., $\|g(x)\|_2 \leq \epsilon \|x\|_2 \quad \forall \|x\|_2 < \delta$

Main Thm: Let $x^* = 0$ be an eq. pt. of (4), where f is C^1 on a neighborhood \mathcal{A} of the origin. Let $A = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=0}$. Then the origin is an A.S. if A is Hurwitz, i.e. $\text{spec}(A) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^-$ the open L.H.P.

Proof As A is Hurwitz, there exists a unique $P > 0$ s.t.

$$A^T P + P A = -Q$$

for any $Q = Q^T > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \int_0^\infty e^{A^T \tau} Q e^{A \tau} d\tau \quad \text{sym, conv.} \\ (I_n \otimes A + A^T \otimes I_n) \text{vec}(P) &= -\text{vec}(Q) \end{aligned}$$

Define $V(x) = x^T P x$

↑ P.D.F. — $\geq \lambda_{\min}(P) \|x\|^2$
Decreasing $\leq \lambda_{\max}(P) \|x\|^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &= x^T P (Ax + g(x)) + (Ax + g(x))^T P x \\ &= x^T (PA + A^T P) x + x^T P g(x) + g(x)^T P x \\ &= -x^T Q x + 2 x^T P g(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^T P g(x) &\leq \|x^T P g(x)\| \\ &\leq \|x\|_2 \cdot \|P g(x)\| \\ &\leq \|x\|_2 \|P\|_2 \|g(x)\| \end{aligned}$$

If $\|x\|_2 < \sigma$ then —

$$x^T P x < \|x\|_2^2 (\sigma \|P\|_2)$$

$$0 < \lambda_{\min}(Q) \|x\|_2^2 \leq x^T Q x \leq \lambda_{\max}(Q) \|x\|_2^2$$

$$-x^T Q x \leq -\lambda_{\min}(Q) \|x\|_2^2$$

$$\therefore \dot{V} < -\left(\lambda_{\min}(Q) - \sigma \|P\|_2\right) \|x\|_2^2$$

Fix $Q \Rightarrow$ fixed P , and fixed $\lambda_{\min}(Q)$.

Then we have to choose $\sigma > 0$ st.

$$\sigma < \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_{\min}(Q)}{\|P\|_2}$$

Then $\sigma > 0$ gives an estimate of region of attraction. A larger σ is always better.

$$\Omega = \{x \mid \Phi_t^f(x) \rightarrow 0\}$$

- connected
- invariant.

→ A has some eigenvalues in \mathbb{C}^+
 $x=0$ is unstable.

→ A has some eig on im-axis.
are critical cases and nothing
can be said about stability via
linearization.

① For non-auf case —

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x)$$

$$= A(t)x + g(t, x)$$

$$\uparrow f(t, x) - A(t)x$$

$$\uparrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, t) \Big|_{x=0}$$

In general

at each $t \geq 0$ $\frac{\|g(t, x)\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \rightarrow 0$ as $\|x\|_2 \rightarrow 0$

But not hold uniformly.

Uniform Order. Cond.

$$\lim_{\|x\|_2 \rightarrow 0} \left(\sup_{t \geq 0} \frac{\|g(t, x)\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \right) = 0$$

$\dot{x} = -x + tx^2$

Fb stabilization.

$$\begin{array}{l} \dot{x} = f(x, u) \\ u = kx \end{array} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{l} \dot{x} = f(x, u) \\ u = kx \end{array}} \right\} \dot{x} = f(x, kx)$$

$$(A+Bk)$$

$$g(x) = f(x, kx) - (A+Bk)x$$

Example:

$$\dot{x}_1 = -x_2$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = x_1 + (x_1^2 - 1)x_2$$

(0,0) — eq.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V(x) = x^T P x = \frac{1}{2} (3x_1^2 - 2x_1x_2 + x_2^2) \quad Q = I \Rightarrow P = \begin{pmatrix} 1.5 & -0.5 \\ -0.5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\dot{V}(x) = -(x_1^2 + x_2^2) - (x_1^3 x_2 - 2x_1^2 x_2^2) - x_1(x_1 x_2)(x_1 - 2x_2)$$

$$\leq \|x\|^2 + |x_1| |x_1 x_2| |x_1 - 2x_2| \leq \sqrt{5} \|x\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2$$

$$\leq -\|x\|^2 \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \|x\|\right)$$

$$1 - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \|x\| > 0 \rightarrow \|x\| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} = \sqrt{.8994} = .9457$$

$$C < \min V(x)$$

$$x_1 = \rho \cos \theta$$

$$x_2 =$$

$$\dot{V} \leq -\rho^2 + .861 \rho^4$$

$$\rho^2 \leq \frac{1}{0.861}$$